

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Bernhard Bachmann

Faculty of Engineering and Mathematics Bielefeld University of Applied Sciences, Germany

6<sup>th</sup> February 2023

#### The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

#### The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

#### The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

#### The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

#### The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

#### The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

Single-rate Mode of GBODE:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

- Same options as for the single-rate mode
- Seperation of fast and slow states (inner/outer integration)
- Step size control for each mode
- Efficient event handling

#### The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

Single-rate Mode of GBODE:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

- Same options as for the single-rate mode
- Seperation of fast and slow states (inner/outer integration)
- Step size control for each mode
- Efficient event handling

#### The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

Single-rate Mode of GBODE:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

- Same options as for the single-rate mode
- Seperation of fast and slow states (inner/outer integration)
- Step size control for each mode
- Efficient event handling

#### The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

Single-rate Mode of GBODE:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

- Same options as for the single-rate mode
- Seperation of fast and slow states (inner/outer integration)
- Step size control for each mode
- Efficient event handling

#### Contents

#### Single-Rate Mode of GBODE

- Status February 2022
- General Description of Runge-Kutta Methods
- Requirements for Step Size Control
- Stability of Runge-Kutta methods
- Interpolation and Dense Output
- Available Runge-Kutta Methods in GBODE
- Configuration (Simulation) Flags of GBODE
- GBODE configuration to replace old solvers
- Regression Tests on Some Libraries (solvers: gbode, cvode, master)

#### Bi-Rate Mode of GBODE

• How to use the prototype?

#### 3 Conclusions & Future Work

• GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

#### Status February 2022

#### Complete list of integration methods before GBODE development:

-s=value or -s value Value specifies the integration method. For additional information see the User's Guide

- euler Euler explicit, fixed step size, order 1
- heun Heun's method explicit, fixed step, order 2
- rungekutta classical Runge-Kutta explicit, fixed step, order 4
- · impeuler Euler implicit, fixed step size, order 1
- trapezoid trapezoidal rule implicit, fixed step size, order 2
- imprungekutta Runge-Kutta methods based on Radau and Lobatto IIA implicit, fixed step size, order 1-6(selected manually by flag -impRKOrder)
- irksco own developed Runge-Kutta solver implicit, step size control, order 1-2
- · dassl default solver BDF method implicit, step size control, order 1-5
- ida SUNDIALS IDA solver BDF method with sparse linear solver implicit, step size control, order 1-5
- cvode experimental implementation of SUNDIALS CVODE solver BDF or Adams-Moulton method - step size control, order 1-12
- rungekuttaSsc Runge-Kutta based on Novikov (2016) explicit, step size control, order 4-5 [experimental]
- symSolver symbolic inline Solver [compiler flag +symSolver needed] fixed step size, order 1
- symSolverSsc symbolic implicit Euler with step size control [compiler flag +symSolver needed] step size control, order 1
- qss A QSS solver [experimental]
- · optimization Special solver for dynamic optimization

#### Status February 2022

#### Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow$  GBODE The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings

Status February 2022

Situation before the GBODE development:

- Different implementation, but, with different quality
- Some methods are rarely used by the OpenModelica community
- Impossible to maintain the program code
- Many experimental features
- Only a few support all Modelica language features

- Realiable step size control
- Efficient event handling
- Capability to utilize sparse matrix solvers
- Highly configurable implementation
- Robust default settings
- $\Rightarrow \quad \mathsf{GBODE} \text{ The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica}$

The Classic Runge-Kutta Method (stages 4)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

#### The Classic Runge-Kutta Method (stages 4)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$k_1 = f(t_n, y_n),$$



#### The Classic Runge-Kutta Method (stages 4)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= f\left(t_n, y_n\right), \\ k_2 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_1\right), \end{aligned}$$



#### The Classic Runge-Kutta Method (stages 4)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= f(t_n, y_n), \\ k_2 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_1\right), \\ k_3 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_2\right), \end{aligned}$$



#### The Classic Runge-Kutta Method (stages 4)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$\begin{split} k_1 &= f\left(t_n, y_n\right), \\ k_2 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_1\right), \\ k_3 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_2\right), \\ k_4 &= f\left(t_n + h, y_n + h k_3\right). \end{split}$$



#### The Classic Runge-Kutta Method (stages 4)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n}, y_{n}),$$

$$k_{2} = f\left(t_{n} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{n} + \frac{h}{2}k_{1}\right),$$

$$k_{3} = f\left(t_{n} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{n} + \frac{h}{2}k_{2}\right),$$

$$k_{4} = f(t_{n} + h, y_{n} + h k_{3}).$$

Perform time step:

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \underbrace{\frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)}_{=k}$$



#### The Classic Runge-Kutta Method (stages 4)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= f(t_n, y_n), \\ k_2 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_1\right), \\ k_3 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}k_2\right), \\ k_4 &= f(t_n + h, y_n + h k_3). \end{aligned}$$

Perform time step:

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \underbrace{\frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)}_{=k} = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$$



#### General Explicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

#### General Explicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$k_{1}=f\left( t_{n},y_{n}\right) ,$$

#### General Explicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$k_1 = f(t_n, y_n),$$
  
 $k_2 = f(t_n + c_2h, y_n + ha_{21}k_1),$ 

#### General Explicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$\begin{split} k_1 &= f(t_n, y_n), \\ k_2 &= f(t_n + c_2 h, y_n + ha_{21} k_1), \\ k_3 &= f(t_n + c_3 h, y_n + h(a_{31} k_1 + a_{32} k_2)), \end{split}$$
### General Explicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

÷

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= f(t_n, y_n), \\ k_2 &= f(t_n + c_2 h, y_n + ha_{21} k_1), \\ k_3 &= f(t_n + c_3 h, y_n + h(a_{31} k_1 + a_{32} k_2)), \end{aligned}$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1})).$$

### General Explicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n}, y_{n}),$$

$$k_{2} = f(t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + ha_{21}k_{1}),$$

$$k_{3} = f(t_{n} + c_{3}h, y_{n} + h(a_{31}k_{1} + a_{32}k_{2}),$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1})).$$

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i$$

### General Explicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n}, y_{n}),$$

$$k_{2} = f(t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + ha_{21}k_{1}),$$

$$k_{3} = f(t_{n} + c_{3}h, y_{n} + h(a_{31}k_{1} + a_{32}k_{2}),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1})).$$

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
 $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$ 

### General Explicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$\begin{split} k_1 =& f\left(t_n, y_n\right), \\ k_2 =& f\left(t_n + c_2 h, y_n + h a_{21} k_1\right), \\ k_3 =& f\left(t_n + c_3 h, y_n + h (a_{31} k_1 + a_{32} k_2)\right), \end{split}$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1})).$$

Perform time step:

:

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
 $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$ 

#### Butcher tableau:

0	0	0	0		0
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	0	0		0
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	0		0
:		:	••	••	
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$		$a_{s,s-1}$	0
	$b_1$	$b_2$	•••	$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$

### General Explicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$\begin{split} k_1 =& f\left(t_n, y_n\right), \\ k_2 =& f\left(t_n + c_2 h, y_n + h a_{21} k_1\right), \\ k_3 =& f\left(t_n + c_3 h, y_n + h (a_{31} k_1 + a_{32} k_2)\right), \end{split}$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1})).$$

Perform time step:

:

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
 $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$ 

#### Butcher tableau:

0	0	0	0		0
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	0	0		0
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	0	•••	0
:	:	:	•.	·.	:
•	•	•	•	•	•
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	• • •	$a_{s,s-1}$	0
	$b_1$	$b_2$		$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$

#### Necessary conditions (order 1):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = 1$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} = c_i, \quad \text{für} \quad i = 2, \dots, s.$$

### General Implicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

### General Implicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$k_1 = f(t_n + c_1 h, y_n + h(a_{11}k_1 + a_{12}k_2 + \ldots + a_{1,s}k_s)),$$

### General Implicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

÷

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n} + c_{1}h, y_{n} + h(a_{11}k_{1} + a_{12}k_{2} + \ldots + a_{1,s}k_{s})), k_{2} = f(t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + h(a_{21}k_{1} + a_{22}k_{2} + \ldots + a_{2,s}k_{s})), k_{3} = f(t_{n} + c_{3}h, y_{n} + h(a_{31}k_{1} + a_{32}k_{2} + \ldots + a_{3,s}k_{s})),$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s}k_s)).$$

### General Implicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n} + c_{1}h, y_{n} + h(a_{11}k_{1} + a_{12}k_{2} + \dots + a_{1,s}k_{s})),$$

$$k_{2} = f(t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + h(a_{21}k_{1} + a_{22}k_{2} + \dots + a_{2,s}k_{s})),$$

$$k_{3} = f(t_{n} + c_{3}h, y_{n} + h(a_{31}k_{1} + a_{32}k_{2} + \dots + a_{3,s}k_{s})),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s}k_s)).$$

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i$$

### General Implicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n} + c_{1}h, y_{n} + h(a_{11}k_{1} + a_{12}k_{2} + \dots + a_{1,s}k_{s})),$$

$$k_{2} = f(t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + h(a_{21}k_{1} + a_{22}k_{2} + \dots + a_{2,s}k_{s})),$$

$$k_{3} = f(t_{n} + c_{3}h, y_{n} + h(a_{31}k_{1} + a_{32}k_{2} + \dots + a_{3,s}k_{s})),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s}k_s)).$$

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
 $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$ 

### General Implicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n} + c_{1}h, y_{n} + h(a_{11}k_{1} + a_{12}k_{2} + \dots + a_{1,s}k_{s})),$$
  

$$k_{2} = f(t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + h(a_{21}k_{1} + a_{22}k_{2} + \dots + a_{2,s}k_{s})),$$
  

$$k_{3} = f(t_{n} + c_{3}h, y_{n} + h(a_{31}k_{1} + a_{32}k_{2} + \dots + a_{3,s}k_{s})),$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s}k_s)).$$

Perform time step:

÷

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
 $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$ 

#### Butcher tableau:

$c_1$	$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$		$a_{1,s-1}$	$a_{1,s}$
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$		$a_{2,s-1}$	$a_{2,s}$
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$		$a_{3,s-1}$	$a_{3,s}$
:	:	:	••	:	:
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	•••	$a_{s,s-1}$	$a_{s,s}$
	$b_1$	$b_2$		$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$

### General Implicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

÷

$$k_1 = f(t_n + c_1h, y_n + h(a_{11}k_1 + a_{12}k_2 + \dots + a_{1,s}k_s)), k_2 = f(t_n + c_2h, y_n + h(a_{21}k_1 + a_{22}k_2 + \dots + a_{2,s}k_s)), k_3 = f(t_n + c_3h, y_n + h(a_{31}k_1 + a_{32}k_2 + \dots + a_{3,s}k_s)),$$

$$k_{s} = f(t_{n} + c_{s}h, y_{n} + h(a_{s1}k_{1} + a_{s2}k_{2} + \ldots + a_{s,s}k_{s})).$$

Perform time step:

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
 $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$ 

#### Butcher tableau:

$c_1$	$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$	• • •	$a_{1,s-1}$	$a_{1,s}$
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$	• • •	$a_{2,s-1}$	$a_{2,s}$
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	• • •	$a_{3,s-1}$	$a_{3,s}$
				•	
:					
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	• • •	$a_{s,s-1}$	$a_{s,s}$
	$b_1$	$b_2$	• • •	$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$

Necessary conditions (order 1):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = 1$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij} = c_i, \quad \text{für} \quad i = 1, \dots, s.$$

### General Implicit Runge-Kutta Method (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} &k_1 = f\left(t_n + c_1h, y_n + h(a_{11}k_1 + a_{12}k_2 + \ldots + a_{1,s}k_s)\right), \\ &k_2 = f\left(t_n + c_2h, y_n + h(a_{21}k_1 + a_{22}k_2 + \ldots + a_{2,s}k_s)\right), \\ &k_3 = f\left(t_n + c_3h, y_n + h(a_{31}k_1 + a_{32}k_2 + \ldots + a_{3,s}k_s)\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s}k_s))$$

Perform time step:

÷

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + h,$$
  
 $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$ 

#### Butcher tableau:

$c_1$	$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$	• • •	$a_{1,s-1}$	$a_{1,s}$
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$	• • •	$a_{2,s-1}$	$a_{2,s}$
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	• • •	$a_{3,s-1}$	$a_{3,s}$
				•	
:					
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	• • •	$a_{s,s-1}$	$a_{s,s}$
	$b_1$	$b_2$	• • •	$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$

Necessary conditions (order 1):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = 1$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij} = c_i, \quad \text{für} \quad i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Compact Butcher tableau:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \end{array}$$

### Singly-Diagonal Implicit Runge-Kutta Method - SDIRK (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$k_1 = f\left(t_n + c_1h, y_n + h\gamma k_1\right),$$

### Singly-Diagonal Implicit Runge-Kutta Method - SDIRK (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n} + c_{1}h, y_{n} + h\gamma k_{1}),$$
  

$$k_{2} = f(t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + h(a_{21}k_{1} + \gamma k_{2})),$$

### Singly-Diagonal Implicit Runge-Kutta Method - SDIRK (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= f\left(t_n + c_1 h, y_n + h\gamma k_1\right), \\ k_2 &= f\left(t_n + c_2 h, y_n + h(a_{21}k_1 + \gamma k_2), \\ k_3 &= f\left(t_n + c_3 h, y_n + h(a_{31}k_1 + a_{32}k_2 + \gamma k_3)\right), \end{aligned}$$

### Singly-Diagonal Implicit Runge-Kutta Method - SDIRK (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

$$\begin{split} k_1 &= f\left(t_n + c_1 h, y_n + h\gamma k_1\right), \\ k_2 &= f\left(t_n + c_2 h, y_n + h(a_{21}k_1 + \gamma k_2), \\ k_3 &= f\left(t_n + c_3 h, y_n + h(a_{31}k_1 + a_{32}k_2 + \gamma k_3)\right), \end{split}$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1} + \gamma k_s)).$$

### Singly-Diagonal Implicit Runge-Kutta Method - SDIRK (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$k_{1} = f (t_{n} + c_{1}h, y_{n} + h\gamma k_{1}),$$

$$k_{2} = f (t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + h(a_{21}k_{1} + \gamma k_{2}),$$

$$k_{3} = f (t_{n} + c_{3}h, y_{n} + h(a_{31}k_{1} + a_{32}k_{2} + \gamma k_{3})),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$k_{s} = f (t_{n} + c_{s}h, y_{n} + h(a_{s1}k_{1} + a_{s2}k_{2} + \dots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1} + \gamma k_{s})).$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i$$

### Singly-Diagonal Implicit Runge-Kutta Method - SDIRK (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$k_{1} = f (t_{n} + c_{1}h, y_{n} + h\gamma k_{1}),$$

$$k_{2} = f (t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + h(a_{21}k_{1} + \gamma k_{2}),$$

$$k_{3} = f (t_{n} + c_{3}h, y_{n} + h(a_{31}k_{1} + a_{32}k_{2} + \gamma k_{3})),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$k_{s} = f (t_{n} + c_{s}h, y_{n} + h(a_{s1}k_{1} + a_{s2}k_{2} + \dots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1} + \gamma k_{s})).$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$$

### Singly-Diagonal Implicit Runge-Kutta Method - SDIRK (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$k_{1} = f(t_{n} + c_{1}h, y_{n} + h\gamma k_{1}),$$
  

$$k_{2} = f(t_{n} + c_{2}h, y_{n} + h(a_{21}k_{1} + \gamma k_{2}),$$
  

$$k_{3} = f(t_{n} + c_{3}h, y_{n} + h(a_{31}k_{1} + a_{32}k_{2} + \gamma k_{3})),$$

$$k_s = f(t_n + c_s h, y_n + h(a_{s1}k_1 + a_{s2}k_2 + \ldots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1} + \gamma k_s)).$$

Perform time step:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$$

#### Butcher tableau:

$c_1$	$\gamma$	0	0		0
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$\gamma$	0		0
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	$\gamma$		0
:	:			•	:
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	• • •	$a_{s,s-1}$	$\gamma$
	$b_1$	$b_2$	• • • •	$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$

### Singly-Diagonal Implicit Runge-Kutta Method - SDIRK (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= f\left(t_n + c_1h, y_n + h\gamma k_1\right), \\ k_2 &= f\left(t_n + c_2h, y_n + h(a_{21}k_1 + \gamma k_2), \\ k_3 &= f\left(t_n + c_3h, y_n + h(a_{31}k_1 + a_{32}k_2 + \gamma k_3)\right), \end{aligned}$$

#### Butcher tableau:

$c_1$	$\gamma$	0	0		0
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$\gamma$	0		0
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	$\gamma$		0
		-			
	:	:		••	-
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	• • •	$a_{s,s-1}$	$\gamma$
	$b_1$	$b_2$		$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$

Compact Butcher tableau:

$$k_{s} = f(t_{n} + c_{s}h, y_{n} + h(a_{s1}k_{1} + a_{s2}k_{2} + \ldots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1} + \gamma k_{s})). \qquad \underline{c \quad A}$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$$

### Explicit Singly-Diagonal Implicit Runge-Kutta Method - ESDIRK (stages s)

Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Get approximation of  $y_{n+1}$  at  $t_{n+1}$  (step size h):

Calculate derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= f(t_n, y_n), \\ k_2 &= f(t_n + c_2 h, y_n + h(a_{21}k_1 + \gamma k_2)), \\ k_3 &= f(t_n + c_3 h, y_n + h(a_{31}k_1 + a_{32}k_2 + \gamma k_3))), \end{aligned}$$

Butcher tableau:

0	0	0	0		0
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$\gamma$	0		0
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	$\gamma$	•••	0
÷	:	÷		·	÷
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	• • •	$a_{s,s-1}$	$\gamma$
	$b_1$	$b_2$		$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$

Compact Butcher tableau:

$$k_{s} = f(t_{n} + c_{s}h, y_{n} + h(a_{s1}k_{1} + a_{s2}k_{2} + \dots + a_{s,s-1}k_{s-1} + \gamma k_{s})). \qquad \underline{c \mid A}$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$$

Requirements for Step Size Control

Calculate two approximations  $(y_{n+1}, \hat{y}_{n+1})$  to the solution at time  $t_n + h$  with different error order  $(p, \hat{p})$ :

Requirements for Step Size Control

Calculate two approximations  $(y_{n+1}, \hat{y}_{n+1})$  to the solution at time  $t_n + h$  with different error order  $(p, \hat{p})$ :

Richardson extrapolation: Given: Integration method of order p.

 $y_{n+1} = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$ 

Requirements for Step Size Control

Calculate two approximations  $(y_{n+1}, \hat{y}_{n+1})$  to the solution at time  $t_n + h$  with different error order  $(p, \hat{p})$ :

Richardson extrapolation: Given: Integration method of order n

the gration method of order 
$$p$$
.

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f)$$

Perform one integration step of length h and two integration steps of length  $\frac{h}{2}$  and construct an approximation  $\hat{y}_{n+1}$  of order  $\hat{p}=p+1$ 

$$\begin{split} y_{n+1} = & \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f), \\ y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = & \Phi(y_n, t_n, \frac{h}{2}, f), \\ \tilde{y}_{n+1} = & \Phi(y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, t_n + \frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}, f), \\ \hat{y}_{n+1} = & \frac{2^p \tilde{y}_{n+1} - y_{n+1}}{2^p - 1}. \end{split}$$

### Requirements for Step Size Control

Calculate two approximations  $(y_{n+1}, \hat{y}_{n+1})$  to the solution at time  $t_n + h$  with different error order  $(p, \hat{p})$ :

Richardson extrapolation: Given:

Integration method of order p.

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$$

Perform one integration step of length h and two integration steps of length  $\frac{h}{2}$  and construct an approximation  $\hat{y}_{n+1}$  of order  $\hat{p}=p+1$ 

$$\begin{split} y_{n+1} = &\Phi(y_n,t_n,h,f), \\ y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = &\Phi(y_n,t_n,\frac{h}{2},f), \\ \tilde{y}_{n+1} = &\Phi(y_{n+\frac{1}{2}},t_n+\frac{h}{2},\frac{h}{2},f), \\ \hat{y}_{n+1} = &\frac{2^p \tilde{y}_{n+1}-y_{n+1}}{2^p-1}. \end{split}$$

#### Embedded Runge-Kutta methods: Butcher tableau:

$c_1$	$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$	• • •	$a_{1,s-1}$	$a_{1,s}$
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$	• • •	$a_{2,s-1}$	$a_{2,s}$
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	• • •	$a_{3,s-1}$	$a_{3,s}$
÷	:	:	·	:	:
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$		$a_{s,s-1}$	$a_{s,s}$
	$b_1$	$b_2$		$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$
	$\hat{b}_1$	$\hat{b}_2$		$\hat{b}_{s-1}$	$\hat{b}_s$

### Requirements for Step Size Control

Calculate two approximations  $(y_{n+1}, \hat{y}_{n+1})$  to the solution at time  $t_n + h$  with different error order  $(p, \hat{p})$ :

Richardson extrapolation: Given:

Integration method of order p.

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$$

Perform one integration step of length h and two integration steps of length  $\frac{h}{2}$  and construct an approximation  $\hat{y}_{n+1}$  of order  $\hat{p}=p+1$ 

$$\begin{split} y_{n+1} = &\Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f), \\ y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = &\Phi(y_n, t_n, \frac{h}{2}, f), \\ \tilde{y}_{n+1} = &\Phi(y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, t_n + \frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}, f), \\ \hat{y}_{n+1} = &\frac{2^p \tilde{y}_{n+1} - y_{n+1}}{2^p - 1}. \end{split}$$

#### Embedded Runge-Kutta methods: Butcher tableau:

$c_1$	$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$		$a_{1,s-1}$	$a_{1,s}$
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$	• • •	$a_{2,s-1}$	$a_{2,s}$
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$		$a_{3,s-1}$	$a_{3,s}$
÷	:	:	·	:	
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	•••	$a_{s,s-1}$	$a_{s,s}$
	$b_1$	$b_2$		$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$
	$\hat{b}_1$	$\hat{b}_2$	•••	$\hat{b}_{s-1}$	$\hat{b}_s$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i$$
  
 $\hat{y}_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \hat{b}_i k_i$ 

### Requirements for Step Size Control

Calculate two approximations  $(y_{n+1}, \hat{y}_{n+1})$  to the solution at time  $t_n + h$  with different error order  $(p, \hat{p})$ :

Richardson extrapolation: Given:

Integration method of order p.

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f).$$

Perform one integration step of length h and two integration steps of length  $\frac{h}{2}$  and construct an approximation  $\hat{y}_{n+1}$  of order  $\hat{p}=p+1$ 

$$\begin{split} y_{n+1} = & \Phi(y_n, t_n, h, f), \\ y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = & \Phi(y_n, t_n, \frac{h}{2}, f), \\ \tilde{y}_{n+1} = & \Phi(y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, t_n + \frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}, f), \\ \hat{y}_{n+1} = & \frac{2^p \tilde{y}_{n+1} - y_{n+1}}{2^p - 1}. \end{split}$$

Embedded Runge-Kutta methods: Butcher tableau:

$c_1$	$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$	• • •	$a_{1,s-1}$	$a_{1,s}$
$c_2$	$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$	• • •	$a_{2,s-1}$	$a_{2,s}$
$c_3$	$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	• • •	$a_{3,s-1}$	$a_{3,s}$
÷	:	÷	·	:	:
$c_s$	$a_{s1}$	$a_{s2}$	• • •	$a_{s,s-1}$	$a_{s,s}$
	$b_1$	$b_2$		$b_{s-1}$	$b_s$
	$\hat{b}_1$	$\hat{b}_2$		$\hat{b}_{s-1}$	$\hat{b}_s$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i$$
  
 $\hat{y}_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \hat{b}_i k_i$ 

 $\Rightarrow |y_{n+1} - \hat{y}_{n+1}| \approx O(h^q)$  with  $q = \min(p, \hat{p})$  is an estimate to the approximation error.

Stability of Runge-Kutta methods

Compact Butcher tableau:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \\ \end{array}$$

#### Stability of Runge-Kutta methods

Compact Butcher tableau:

 $\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \end{array}$ 

Error propagation can be controlled via the stability function:

 $R(z) = 1 + zb^{T} (I - zA)^{-1} e,$ 

 $\boldsymbol{e}$  stands for the vector of ones.

#### Stability of Runge-Kutta methods

Compact Butcher tableau:

 $\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \end{array}$ 

Error propagation can be controlled via the stability function:

 $R(z) = 1 + zb^{T} (I - zA)^{-1} e,$ 

e stands for the vector of ones. If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the Jacobian  $J_f$  of f, then

 $\lambda h \in S := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |R(z)| < 1 \}$ 

### Stability of Runge-Kutta methods

Compact Butcher tableau:

 $\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \end{array}$ 

Error propagation can be controlled via the stability function:

 $R(z) = 1 + zb^{T} (I - zA)^{-1} e,$ 

 $\boldsymbol{e}$  stands for the vector of ones.

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the Jacobian  $J_f$  of f, then

 $\lambda h \in S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |R(z)| < 1\}$ 

Example (explicit Euler):

Butcher tableau:

 $\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}$ 

$$\Rightarrow R(z) = 1 + z \Rightarrow S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z+1| < 1\}$$

### Stability of Runge-Kutta methods

Compact Butcher tableau:

 $\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \end{array}$ 

Error propagation can be controlled via the stability function:

 $R(z) = 1 + zb^{T} (I - zA)^{-1} e,$ 

 $\boldsymbol{e}$  stands for the vector of ones.

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the Jacobian  $J_f$  of f, then

 $\lambda h \in S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |R(z)| < 1\}$ 

Example (explicit Euler):



### Stability of Runge-Kutta methods

Compact Butcher tableau:

 $\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \end{array}$ 

Error propagation can be controlled via the stability function:

$$R(z) = 1 + zb^{T} (I - zA)^{-1} e^{-1}$$

*e* stands for the vector of ones.

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the Jacobian  $J_f$  of f, then

 $\lambda h \in S := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |R(z)| < 1 \}$ 

Example (explicit Euler):



 $\Rightarrow R(z) = 1 + z \Rightarrow S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z+1| < 1\}$ 

model CurtissHirschfelder Real y( start =0); Real z; parameter Real a=50; parameter Real y0 = a^2/(a^2+1); parameter Real b( fixed = false); initial equation y=z; equation der(y) = -a\*(y-cos(time)); z = a/(a^2+1)\*(a\*cos(time)+ sin(time))+b\*exp(-a\*time); end CurtissHirschfelder ;

### Stability of Runge-Kutta methods

Compact Butcher tableau:

Error propagation can be controlled via the stability function.

$$R(z) = 1 + zb^{T} (I - zA)^{-1} e_{z}$$

e stands for the vector of ones.

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the Jacobian  $J_f$  of f, then

 $\lambda h \in S := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |R(z)| < 1 \}$ 

Example (explicit Euler):



model CurtissHirschfelder Real y(start=0); Real z; parameter Real a=50: parameter Real  $v0 = a^2/(a^2+1)$ ; parameter Real b(fixed = false); initial equation y=z; equation der(y) = -a\*(y-cos(time)); $z = a/(a^2+1)*(a*cos(time)+$ sin(time))+b\*exp(-a\*time);end CurtissHirschfelder ;



Step size h = 0.039 ( $\lambda = -50, -2 < \lambda h < 0$ ):

### Stability of Runge-Kutta methods

Compact Butcher tableau:

Error propagation can be controlled via the stability function:

$$R(z) = 1 + zb^{T} (I - zA)^{-1} e_{z}$$

e stands for the vector of ones.

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the Jacobian  $J_f$  of f, then

 $\lambda h \in S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |R(z)| < 1\}$ 

Example (explicit Euler):



model CurtissHirschfelder Real y(start=0); Real z: parameter Real a=50: parameter Real  $v0 = a^2/(a^2+1)$ ; parameter Real b(fixed = false); initial equation y=z; equation der(y) = -a\*(y-cos(time)); $z = a/(a^2+1)*(a*cos(time)+$ sin(time))+b\*exp(-a\*time);end CurtissHirschfelder ;



Step size h = 0.04 ( $\lambda = -50, -2 < \lambda h < 0$ ):
#### Stability of Runge-Kutta methods

Compact Butcher tableau:

Error propagation can be controlled via the stability function.

$$R(z) = 1 + zb^{T} (I - zA)^{-1} e_{z}$$

e stands for the vector of ones.

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the Jacobian  $J_f$  of f, then

 $\lambda h \in S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |R(z)| < 1\}$ 

Example (explicit Euler):



model CurtissHirschfelder Real y(start=0); Real z: parameter Real a=50: parameter Real  $v0 = a^2/(a^2+1)$ ; parameter Real b(fixed = false); initial equation y=z; equation der(y) = -a\*(y-cos(time)); $z = a/(a^2+1)*(a*cos(time)+$ sin(time)) + b \* exp(-a \* time);end CurtissHirschfelder ;

1.5e+0 -1e+05 -1.5e+05 time (s)

Step size h = 0.041 ( $\lambda = -50, -2 < \lambda h < 0$ ):

Interpolation and Dense Output

Interpolation between integrator steps

Linear interpolation:



Interpolation and Dense Output



Interpolation and Dense Output



Interpolation and Dense Output



Interpolation and Dense Output

 $y_{n} + \frac{1}{2}hk$ 

 $t_{h} + \frac{1}{2}h$ 



Interpolation and Dense Output



Explicit Euler method (order 1(2), stages 1(2))

Butcher-Tableaus: (Constant step size/Richardson extrapolation vs. variable step size)

0.0	0.0
	1.0

0.0	0.0	0.0
0.5	0.5	0.0
	1.0	0.0
	-	0.0



#### Original Dormand–Prince method (order 5 (4), stages 7)

#### Butcher-Tableau:

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.200	0.200	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.300	0.0750	0.225	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.800	0.978	-3.73	3.56	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.889	2.95	-11.6	9.82	-0.291	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.0	2.85	-10.8	8.91	0.278	-0.274	0.0	0.0
1.0	0.0911	0.0	0.449	0.651	-0.322	0.131	0.0
	0.0911	0.0	0.449	0.651	-0.322	0.131	0.0
	0.0899	0.0	0.453	0.614	-0.272	0.0890	0.0250

- error order: 5 (4)
- stability regions
- real axes limit: -4.39
- dense output
- gbode flag:-gbm=dopri45



Dormand–Prince method with strong stability region (order 1 (5), stages 7)

#### Butcher-Tableau:

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.200	0.200	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.300	0.0750	0.225	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.800	0.978	-3.73	3.56	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.889	2.95	-11.6	9.82	-0.291	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.0	2.85	-10.8	8.91	0.278	-0.274	0.0	0.0
1.0	0.0911	0.0	0.449	0.651	-0.322	0.131	0.0
	0.279	0.499	0.220	0.00222	-0.000109	0.00000291	0.000000679
	0.0911	0.0	0.449	0.651	-0.322	0.131	0.0

- error order: 1 (5)
- stability regions
- real axes limit: -94.82
- dense output
- gbode flag:-gbm=dopriSsc1



Dormand-Prince method with strong stability region (order 2 (5), stages 7)

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.200	0.200	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.300	0.0750	0.225	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.800	0.978	-3.73	3.56	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.889	2.95	-11.6	9.82	-0.291	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.0	2.85	-10.8	8.91	0.278	-0.274	0.0	0.0
1.0	0.0911	0.0	0.449	0.651	-0.322	0.131	0.0
	-0.486	-0.235	1.66	0.0709	-0.00905	0.000668	0.0000480
	0.0911	0.0	0.449	0.651	-0.322	0.131	0.0

- error order: 2 (5)
- stability regions
- real axes limit: -32.65
- dense output
- gbode flag:-gbm=dopriSsc2



Fehlberg method (order 8(7), stages 13)

#### Butcher-Tableau:

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0741	0.0741	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.111	0.0278	0.0833	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.167	0.0417	0.0	0.125	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.417	0.417	0.0	-1.56	1.56	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.500	0.0500	0.0	0.0	0.250	0.200	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.833	-0.231	0.0	0.0	1.16	-2.41	2.31	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.167	0.103	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.271	-0.222	0.0144	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.667	2.0	0.0	0.0	-8.83	15.6	-11.9	0.744	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.333	-0.843	0.0	0.0	0.213	-7.23	5.76	-0.317	2.83	-0.0833	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.0	0.581	0.0	0.0	-2.08	4.39	-3.67	0.520	0.549	0.274	0.439	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0146	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.146	-0.0146	-0.0732	0.0732	0.146	0.0	0.0	0.0
1.0	-0.433	0.0	0.0	-2.08	4.39	-3.52	0.535	0.622	0.201	0.293	0.0	1.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.324	0.257	0.257	0.0321	0.0321	0.0	0.0488	0.0488
	0.0488	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.324	0.257	0.257	0.0321	0.0321	0.0488	0.0	0.0

- error order: 8 (7)
- stability regions
- real axes limit: -5.1
- hermite interpolation
- gbode flag:-gbm=fehlberg78



Euler method (order 1(2), stages 1(2), implicit)

Butcher-Tableaus: (Constant step size/Richardson extrapolation vs. variable step size)



0.0	0.0	0.0
1.0	0.0	1.0
	0.0	1.0
	0.5	0.5



- error order: 1 (2)
- stability regions
- $\bigcirc$  real axes limit:  $-\infty$
- hermite interpolation
- gbode flag:-gbm=impl\_euler



20/35

Gauss3 method (order 6(2), stages 3, implicit)

#### Butcher-Tableau:

0.113	0.139	-0.0360	0.00979
0.500	0.300	0.222	-0.0225
0.887	0.268	0.480	0.139
	0.278	0.444	0.278
	-0.833	2.67	-0.833



- error order: 6 (2)
- stability regions
- ullet real axes limit:  $-\infty$
- hermite interpolation
- gbode flag:-gbm=gauss3



RadaulA3 method (order 5(2), stages 3, implicit)

#### Butcher-Tableau:

0.0	0.111	-0.192	0.0805
0.355	0.111	0.292	-0.0481
0.845	0.111	0.537	0.197
	0.111	0.512	0.376
	-1.0	2.43	-0.429

- error order: 5 (2)
- stability regions
- ${f 0}$  real axes limit:  $-\infty$
- hermite interpolation
- gbode flag:-gbm=radauIA3



LobattoIIIC3 method (order 4(2), stages 3, implicit)

#### Butcher-Tableau:

0.0	0.167	-0.333	0.167
0.500	0.167	0.417	-0.0833
1.0	0.167	0.667	0.167
	0.167	0.667	0.167
	-0.500	2.0	-0.500

- error order: 4 (2)
- stability regions
- ${f 0}$  real axes limit:  $-\infty$
- hermite interpolation
- gbode flag:-gbm=lobattoIIIC3



SDIRK3 method (order 3(2), stages 3, implicit)

#### Butcher-Tableau:

0.789	0.789	0.0	0.0
0.210	-0.576	0.789	0.0
1.0	0.0	0.211	0.789
	0.500	0.500	0.0
	-3.52	1.58	2.94

#### Properties:

- error order: 3 (2)
- stability regions
- $\bigcirc$  real axes limit:  $-\infty$
- hermite interpolation
- gbode flag:-gbm=sdirk3



24/35

ESDIRK4 method (order 4(3), stages 4, implicit) - default

#### Butcher-Tableau:

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.500	0.250	0.250	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.148	-0.051	-0.051	0.250	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.625	-0.0759	-0.0759	0.528	0.250	0.0	0.0
1.04	-0.725	-0.725	1.59	0.658	0.250	0.0
1.0	-0.0153	-0.0153	0.387	0.502	-0.108	0.250
	-0.0153	-0.0153	0.387	0.502	-0.108	0.250
	-2.66	-2.62	4.76	1.11	0.732	-0.32

Properties:

- error order: 4 (3)
- stability regions
- $\bigcirc$  real axes limit:  $-\infty$
- dense output
- gbode flag:-gbm=esdirk4



25/35

Available Runge-Kutta Methods in GBODE

Simulation flag: -gbm = (fast state integration method -gbfm = )

• Explicit Runge-Kutta method:

```
Standard methods:
expl_euler (order 1),
heun (order 2),
merson (order 4),
rungekutta (order 4),
dopri45 (order 5),
tsit5 (order 5),
fehlberg12 (order 2), fehlberg45 (order 5), fehlberg78 (order 8)
```

```
    High order methods:
rk810 (order 10), rk1012 (order 12), rk1214 (order 14)
```

Strong stability methods: dopriSsc1 (order 1), dopriSsc2 (order 2), mersonSsc1 (order 1), mersonSsc2 (order 2), fehlbergSsc1 (order 1), fehlbergSsc2 (order 2), rungekuttaSsc (order 1)

Available Runge-Kutta Methods in GBODE

- Explicit Runge-Kutta method:
  - Standard methods: expl\_euler (order 1), heun (order 2), merson (order 4), rungekutta (order 4), dopri45 (order 5), tsit5 (order 5), fehlberg12 (order 2), fehlberg45 (order 5), fehlberg78 (order 8)
  - High order methods: rk810 (order 10), rk1012 (order 12), rk1214 (order 14)

```
    Strong stability methods:
dopriSsc1 (order 1), dopriSsc2 (order 2),
mersonSsc1 (order 1), mersonSsc2 (order 2),
fehlbergSsc1 (order 1), fehlbergSsc2 (order 2),
rungekuttaSsc (order 1)
```

Available Runge-Kutta Methods in GBODE

Simulation flag: -gbm = (fast state integration method -gbfm = )

• Explicit Runge-Kutta method:

```
Standard methods:
expl_euler (order 1),
heun (order 2),
merson (order 4),
rungekutta (order 4),
dopri45 (order 5),
tsit5 (order 5),
fehlberg12 (order 2), fehlberg45 (order 5), fehlberg78 (order 8)
```

 High order methods: rk810 (order 10), rk1012 (order 12), rk1214 (order 14)

```
Strong stability methods:
dopriSsc1 (order 1), dopriSsc2 (order 2),
mersonSsc1 (order 1), mersonSsc2 (order 2),
fehlbergSsc1 (order 1), fehlbergSsc2 (order 2),
rungekuttaSsc (order 1)
```

Available Runge-Kutta Methods in GBODE

Simulation flag: -gbm = (fast state integration method -gbfm = )

- Implicit Runge-Kutta method:
  - Standard methods: impl\_euler (order 1), trapezoid (order 2)
  - (Explicit) singly-diagonal methods: sdirk2 (order 2), sdirk3 (order 3), esdirk2 (order 2), esdirk3 (order 3), esdirk4 (order 4)
  - Gaussian methods: gauss2 (order 4), gauss3 (order 6), gauss4 (order 8), gauss5 (order 10), gauss6 (order 12)
  - Radau methods: radauIA2 (order 3), radauIA3 (order 5), radauIA4 (order 7), radauIIA2 (order 3) radauIIA3 (order 5), radauIIA4 (order 7)

#### Lobatto methods:

Available Runge-Kutta Methods in GBODE

```
Simulation flag: -gbm = (fast state integration method -gbfm = )
```

- Implicit Runge-Kutta method:
  - Standard methods: impl\_euler (order 1), trapezoid (order 2)
  - (Explicit) singly-diagonal methods: sdirk2 (order 2), sdirk3 (order 3), esdirk2 (order 2), esdirk3 (order 3), esdirk4 (order 4)
  - Gaussian methods: gauss2 (order 4), gauss3 (order 6), gauss4 (order 8), gauss5 (order 10), gauss6 (order 12)
  - Radau methods: radauIA2 (order 3), radauIA3 (order 5), radauIA4 (order 7), radauIIA2 (order 3), radauIIA3 (order 5), radauIIA4 (order 7)

#### Lobatto methods:

Available Runge-Kutta Methods in GBODE

```
Simulation flag: -gbm = (fast state integration method -gbfm = )
```

- Implicit Runge-Kutta method:
  - Standard methods: impl\_euler (order 1), trapezoid (order 2)
  - (Explicit) singly-diagonal methods: sdirk2 (order 2), sdirk3 (order 3), esdirk2 (order 2), esdirk3 (order 3), esdirk4 (order 4)
  - Gaussian methods:<sup>a</sup> gauss2 (order 4), gauss3 (order 6), gauss4 (order 8), gauss5 (order 10), gauss6 (order 12)

```
Radau methods:
radauIA2 (order 3), radauIA3 (order 5), radauIA4 (order 7), radauIIA2 (order 3),
radauIIA3 (order 5), radauIIA4 (order 7)
```

#### Lobatto methods:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Current Restriction: Fully implicit (Gauss, Radau, Lobatto) RK methods are not yet supported for fast state integration.

Available Runge-Kutta Methods in GBODE

```
Simulation flag: -gbm = (fast state integration method -gbfm = )
```

- Implicit Runge-Kutta method:
  - Standard methods: impl\_euler (order 1), trapezoid (order 2)
  - (Explicit) singly-diagonal methods: sdirk2 (order 2), sdirk3 (order 3), esdirk2 (order 2), esdirk3 (order 3), esdirk4 (order 4)
  - Gaussian methods:<sup>a</sup> gauss2 (order 4), gauss3 (order 6), gauss4 (order 8), gauss5 (order 10), gauss6 (order 12)
  - Radau methods: radauIA2 (order 3), radauIA3 (order 5), radauIA4 (order 7), radauIIA2 (order 3), radauIIA3 (order 5), radauIIA4 (order 7)

```
Lobatto methods:
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Current Restriction: Fully implicit (Gauss, Radau, Lobatto) RK methods are not yet supported for fast state integration.

Available Runge-Kutta Methods in GBODE

```
Simulation flag: -gbm = (fast state integration method -gbfm = )
```

- Implicit Runge-Kutta method:
  - Standard methods: impl\_euler (order 1), trapezoid (order 2)
  - (Explicit) singly-diagonal methods: sdirk2 (order 2), sdirk3 (order 3), esdirk2 (order 2), esdirk3 (order 3), esdirk4 (order 4)
  - Gaussian methods:<sup>a</sup> gauss2 (order 4), gauss3 (order 6), gauss4 (order 8), gauss5 (order 10), gauss6 (order 12)
  - Radau methods: radauIA2 (order 3), radauIA3 (order 5), radauIA4 (order 7), radauIIA2 (order 3), radauIIA3 (order 5), radauIIA4 (order 7)

#### Lobatto methods:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Current Restriction: Fully implicit (Gauss, Radau, Lobatto) RK methods are not yet supported for fast state integration.

Recommendation for the choice of integration methods

- Explicit Runge-Kutta method are well suited for non-stiff equations: rungekutta (order 4), dopri45 (order 5, dense output), tsit5 (order 5, dense output), fehlberg78 (order 8).
- Runge-Kutta methods with strong stability regions are well suited for mildly stiff equations, where the eigenvalues of the system are on the negative real axis: dopriSsc1 (order 1, dense output), dopriSsc2 (order 2, dense output).
- Implicit Runge-Kutta method are well suited for stiff equations: esdirk2 (order 2, dense output), esdirk3 (order 3, dense output), esdirk4 (order 4, dense output).

Recommendation for the choice of integration methods

- Explicit Runge-Kutta method are well suited for non-stiff equations: rungekutta (order 4), dopri45 (order 5, dense output), tsit5 (order 5, dense output), fehlberg78 (order 8).
- Runge-Kutta methods with strong stability regions are well suited for mildly stiff equations, where the eigenvalues of the system are on the negative real axis: dopriSsc1 (order 1, dense output), dopriSsc2 (order 2, dense output).

```
• Implicit Runge-Kutta method are well suited for stiff equations:
esdirk2 (order 2, dense output),
esdirk3 (order 3, dense output),
esdirk4 (order 4, dense output).
```

Recommendation for the choice of integration methods

- Explicit Runge-Kutta method are well suited for non-stiff equations: rungekutta (order 4), dopri45 (order 5, dense output), tsit5 (order 5, dense output), fehlberg78 (order 8).
- Runge-Kutta methods with strong stability regions are well suited for mildly stiff equations, where the eigenvalues of the system are on the negative real axis: dopriSsc1 (order 1, dense output), dopriSsc2 (order 2, dense output).
- Implicit Runge-Kutta method are well suited for stiff equations: esdirk2 (order 2, dense output), esdirk3 (order 3, dense output), esdirk4 (order 4, dense output).

Configuration (Simulation) Flags of GBODE

General naming convention:

- -gb...: flags for single-rate integration method or outer integration (slow states)
- -gbf...: flags for bi-rate integration method or inner integration (fast states)

Configuration (Simulation) Flags of GBODE

General naming convention:

-gb...: flags for single-rate integration method or outer integration (slow states)

-gbf...: flags for bi-rate integration method or inner integration (fast states)

Step size control:

-gbctrl (-gbfctrl) =

- const: Constant step size
- i: I controller for step size (default)
- pi: PI controller for step size

• ...

#### Configuration (Simulation) Flags of GBODE

General naming convention:

-gb...: flags for single-rate integration method or outer integration (slow states)

-gbf...: flags for bi-rate integration method or inner integration (fast states)

Step size control:

-gbctrl (-gbfctrl) =

- const: Constant step size
- i: I controller for step size (default)
- pi: PI controller for step size

• ...

Non-linear solver method:

-gbnls (-gbfnls) =

- newton: Newton method, dense solver
- kinsol: SUNDIALS KINSOL: Inexact Newton, sparse solver (default)

#### Configuration (Simulation) Flags of GBODE

General naming convention:

-gb...: flags for single-rate integration method or outer integration (slow states)

-gbf...: flags for bi-rate integration method or inner integration (fast states)

Step size control:

-gbctrl (-gbfctrl) =

- const: Constant step size
- i: I controller for step size (default)
- pi: PI controller for step size

• ...

Non-linear solver method:

-gbnls (-gbfnls) =

- newton: Newton method, dense solver
- kinsol: SUNDIALS KINSOL: Inexact Newton, sparse solver (default)

Extrapolation vs. Embedded RK-method: -gberr (-gbferr) =

- 1: Richardson extrapolation
- 0: Embedded RK-method (default)

#### Configuration (Simulation) Flags of GBODE

General naming convention:

 $-gb\ldots: \qquad \mbox{flags for single-rate integration method or outer integration (slow states)}$ 

-gbf...: flags for bi-rate integration method or inner integration (fast states)

Step size control:

-gbctrl (-gbfctrl) =

- const: Constant step size
- i: I controller for step size (default)
- pi: PI controller for step size
- ...

Non-linear solver method:

-gbnls (-gbfnls) =

- newton: Newton method, dense solver
- kinsol: SUNDIALS KINSOL: Inexact Newton, sparse solver (default)

Extrapolation vs. Embedded RK-method: -gberr (-gbferr) =

- 1: Richardson extrapolation
- 0: Embedded RK-method (default)

Interpolation method with error control:

-gbint (-gbfint) =

- linear: Linear interpolation (1st order)
- hermite: Hermite interpolation (3rd order)
- hermite\_a: Hermite interpolation (only for left hand side)
- hermite\_b: Hermite interpolation (only for right hand side)
- hermite\_errctrl: Hermite interpolation with error control
- dense\_output: use dense output formula for interpolation
- dense\_output\_errctrl: use dense output fomular with error control

GBODE configuration to replace old solvers

old:	-s=euler				
new:	-s=gbode -gbm=expl_euler -gbctrl=const				
old:	-s=heun				
new:	-s=gbode -gbm=heun -gbctrl=const				
old:	-s=rungekutta				
new:	-s=gbode -gbm=rungekutta -gbctrl=const				
old:	-s=impeuler				
new:	-s=gbode -gbm=impl_euler -gbctrl=const				
old:	-s=trapezoid				
new:	-s=gbode -gbm=trapezoid -gbctrl=const				
old:	-s=imprungekutta				
new	-s=gbode -gbm= <sup>a</sup> -gbctrl=const				
old:	-s=irksco				
new:	-s=gbode -gbm=trapezoid				
old:	-s=rungekuttaSsc				
new:	-s=gbode -gbm=rungekuttaSsc				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>*a*</sup> is one of the lobatto or radau or gauss Runge Kutta methods

Regression Tests on Some Libraries (solvers: gbode, cvode, master)

#### $Buildings_latest$

gbode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	1499	1472	1472	1472	1472	1472	1369	1243
cvode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	1499	1472	1472	1472	1472	1472	1400	1281
master	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	1503	1503	1502	1502	1502	1502	1441	1282
Regression Tests on Some Libraries (solvers: gbode, cvode, master)

#### $ModelicaTest\_trunk$

gbode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	597	596	592	592	592	589	570	525
cvode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	597	596	592	592	592	589	580	536
master	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	597	596	592	592	592	589	580	537

Regression Tests on Some Libraries (solvers: gbode, cvode, master)

#### PNlib

gbode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
cvode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	91
master	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92

Regression Tests on Some Libraries (solvers: gbode, cvode, master)

#### ThermofluidStream

gbode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	75	75	75	75	75	75	59	54
cvode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	75	75	75	75	75	75	65	63
master	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
-	75	75	75	75	75	75	73	72

Regression Tests on Some Libraries (solvers: gbode, cvode, master)

#### $\mathsf{ClaRa\_dev}$

gbode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	146	145	145	145	145	145	87	44
cvode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	146	145	145	145	145	145	94	49
master	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
-	146	145	145	145	145	145	96	49

Regression Tests on Some Libraries (solvers: gbode, cvode, master)

#### $\mathsf{Modelica\_trunk}$

gbode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	534	528	526	526	526	526	513	470
cvode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	534	528	526	526	526	526	501	463
master	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
-	534	528	526	526	526	526	522	477

Regression Tests on Some Libraries (solvers: gbode, cvode, master)

#### ${\sf PowerSystems\_latest}$

gbode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	128	128	121	121	115	115	103	94
cvode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	128	128	121	121	115	115	104	90
	•					•		
master	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	128	128	121	121	115	115	106	95

Regression Tests on Some Libraries (solvers: gbode, cvode, master)

#### ${\sf ScalableTestSuite}$

gbode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	263	259	259	259	259	259	232	218
cvode	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
	263	259	259	259	259	259	211	203
master	Total	Frontend	Backend	SimCode	Templates	Compilation	Simulation	Verification
-	263	259	259	259	259	259	248	242

How to use the prototype?

- Define percentage of states for the selection of fast states (flag: -gbratio)
- Outer integrator solves for all states using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbm)
- Step size control of the outer integrator is based on the slow states error (flag: -gbctrl)
- Inner integrator refines the fast states that do not fullfill the error tolerance using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbfm)
- Step size control of the inner integrator is based on the fast states error (flag: -gbfctrl)
- For the inner integrator the values of the slow states are interpolated (flag: -gbint)

How to use the prototype?

- Define percentage of states for the selection of fast states (flag: -gbratio)
- Outer integrator solves for all states using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbm)
- Step size control of the outer integrator is based on the slow states error (flag: -gbctrl)
- Inner integrator refines the fast states that do not fullfill the error tolerance using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbfm)
- Step size control of the inner integrator is based on the fast states error (flag: -gbfctrl)
- For the inner integrator the values of the slow states are interpolated (flag: -gbint)

How to use the prototype?

- Define percentage of states for the selection of fast states (flag: -gbratio)
- Outer integrator solves for all states using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbm)
- Step size control of the outer integrator is based on the slow states error (flag: -gbctrl)
- Inner integrator refines the fast states that do not fullfill the error tolerance using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbfm)
- Step size control of the inner integrator is based on the fast states error (flag: -gbfctrl)
- For the inner integrator the values of the slow states are interpolated (flag: -gbint)

How to use the prototype?

- Define percentage of states for the selection of fast states (flag: -gbratio)
- Outer integrator solves for all states using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbm)
- Step size control of the outer integrator is based on the slow states error (flag: -gbctrl)
- Inner integrator refines the fast states that do not fullfill the error tolerance using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbfm)
- Step size control of the inner integrator is based on the fast states error (flag: -gbfctrl)
- For the inner integrator the values of the slow states are interpolated (flag: -gbint)

How to use the prototype?

- Define percentage of states for the selection of fast states (flag: -gbratio)
- Outer integrator solves for all states using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbm)
- Step size control of the outer integrator is based on the slow states error (flag: -gbctrl)
- Inner integrator refines the fast states that do not fullfill the error tolerance using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbfm)
- Step size control of the inner integrator is based on the fast states error (flag: -gbfctrl)
- For the inner integrator the values of the slow states are interpolated (flag: -gbint)

How to use the prototype?

- Define percentage of states for the selection of fast states (flag: -gbratio)
- Outer integrator solves for all states using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbm)
- Step size control of the outer integrator is based on the slow states error (flag: -gbctrl)
- Inner integrator refines the fast states that do not fullfill the error tolerance using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbfm)
- Step size control of the inner integrator is based on the fast states error (flag: -gbfctrl)
- For the inner integrator the values of the slow states are interpolated (flag: -gbint)

How to use the prototype?

Principle procedure:

- Define percentage of states for the selection of fast states (flag: -gbratio)
- Outer integrator solves for all states using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbm)
- Step size control of the outer integrator is based on the slow states error (flag: -gbctrl)
- Inner integrator refines the fast states that do not fullfill the error tolerance using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbfm)
- Step size control of the inner integrator is based on the fast states error (flag: -gbfctrl)
- For the inner integrator the values of the slow states are interpolated (flag: -gbint)

Burgers' equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0,$$

How to use the prototype?

Principle procedure:

- Define percentage of states for the selection of fast states (flag: -gbratio)
- Outer integrator solves for all states using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbm)
- Step size control of the outer integrator is based on the slow states error (flag: -gbctrl)
- Inner integrator refines the fast states that do not fullfill the error tolerance using a selected Runge Kutta method (flag: -gbfm)
- Step size control of the inner integrator is based on the fast states error (flag: -gbfctrl)
- For the inner integrator the values of the slow states are interpolated (flag: -gbint)

Burgers' equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0,$$

#### Activity diagram (Burgers' equation):



GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

GBODE stands for Generic Bi-rate Ordinary Differential Equation solver and is highly configurable supporting the following features:

Single-rate Mode of GBODE:

- Generic implementation for any (implicit, explicit) Runge-Kutta scheme
- Different methods for step size control
- Support of different extrapolation schemes
- Reliable event handling
- Efficient solving of non-linear equations (incl. sparse matrix handling)

Bi-rate Mode of GBODE (prototype):

- Same options as for the single-rate mode
- Seperation of fast and slow states (inner/outer integration)
- Step size control for each mode
- Efficient event handling

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up
  - Jacobian evaluation

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up
  - Jacobian evaluation

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up
  - Jacobian evaluation

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up • Jacobian evaluation

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up
  - Jacobian evaluation

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up
  - Jacobian evaluation

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Single-rate Mode of GBODE:

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up
  - Jacobian evaluation

#### Bi-rate Mode of GBODE (prototype):

- Same options as for the single-rate mode
- Avoid evaluation of equations not necessary for the fast states integration
- Selective equation evaluation and event iteration

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Single-rate Mode of GBODE:

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up
  - Jacobian evaluation

#### Bi-rate Mode of GBODE (prototype):

- Same options as for the single-rate mode
- Avoid evaluation of equations not necessary for the fast states integration
  - Generate dependency graph beforehand
- Selective equation evaluation and event iteration

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Single-rate Mode of GBODE:

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up
  - Jacobian evaluation

Bi-rate Mode of GBODE (prototype):

- Same options as for the single-rate mode
- Avoid evaluation of equations not necessary for the fast states integration
  - Generate dependency graph beforehand

• Selective equation evaluation and event iteration

GBODE - The Generic Bi-Rate Ordinary Differential Equation Solver in OpenModelica

Single-rate Mode of GBODE:

- Handle issues and do bug fixing
- Increase coverage of the MSL and industrial libraries
- Further improve efficiency
  - solution of nonlinear equations, code execution, event handling
- Utilize available parallelization capabilities for simulation speed-up
  - Jacobian evaluation

Bi-rate Mode of GBODE (prototype):

- Same options as for the single-rate mode
- Avoid evaluation of equations not necessary for the fast states integration
  - Generate dependency graph beforehand
- Selective equation evaluation and event iteration

- **Francesco Casella**, who invited me to Politecnico Milano during my sabbatical and for many fruitful discussions on GBODE. He provided application examples to test the methods and repeatedly showed the limitations of the current implementation.
- Andreas Heuermann, who helped me with interfacing GBODE to the nonlinear solvers of OpenModelica including sparse matrix handling.
- Karim Abdelhak, Philip Hannebohm for several discussions and bug fixing during the implementation work.
- The **OpenModelica developers** that worked hard to achieve the current available OpenModelica environment.

- **Francesco Casella**, who invited me to Politecnico Milano during my sabbatical and for many fruitful discussions on GBODE. He provided application examples to test the methods and repeatedly showed the limitations of the current implementation.
- Andreas Heuermann, who helped me with interfacing GBODE to the nonlinear solvers of OpenModelica including sparse matrix handling.
- Karim Abdelhak, Philip Hannebohm for several discussions and bug fixing during the implementation work.
- The **OpenModelica developers** that worked hard to achieve the current available OpenModelica environment.

- **Francesco Casella**, who invited me to Politecnico Milano during my sabbatical and for many fruitful discussions on GBODE. He provided application examples to test the methods and repeatedly showed the limitations of the current implementation.
- Andreas Heuermann, who helped me with interfacing GBODE to the nonlinear solvers of OpenModelica including sparse matrix handling.
- Karim Abdelhak, Philip Hannebohm for several discussions and bug fixing during the implementation work.
- The **OpenModelica developers** that worked hard to achieve the current available OpenModelica environment.

- **Francesco Casella**, who invited me to Politecnico Milano during my sabbatical and for many fruitful discussions on GBODE. He provided application examples to test the methods and repeatedly showed the limitations of the current implementation.
- Andreas Heuermann, who helped me with interfacing GBODE to the nonlinear solvers of OpenModelica including sparse matrix handling.
- Karim Abdelhak, Philip Hannebohm for several discussions and bug fixing during the implementation work.
- The **OpenModelica developers** that worked hard to achieve the current available OpenModelica environment.

- **Francesco Casella**, who invited me to Politecnico Milano during my sabbatical and for many fruitful discussions on GBODE. He provided application examples to test the methods and repeatedly showed the limitations of the current implementation.
- Andreas Heuermann, who helped me with interfacing GBODE to the nonlinear solvers of OpenModelica including sparse matrix handling.
- Karim Abdelhak, Philip Hannebohm for several discussions and bug fixing during the implementation work.
- The **OpenModelica developers** that worked hard to achieve the current available OpenModelica environment.

# **QUESTIONS?**